

## **BOARD OF CONTROL MEETING MINUTES July 10, 2006**

**TIME:** 6:00 p.m. in the Safety/Administration Building, Council Chambers

**PRESENT:** Joseph Kernan, Mayor  
Claude Collins, Administrative Officer  
John Moss, Director of Finance  
Michael Zita, President of Council  
James Price, Vice-President of Council

The Board of Control convened for a meeting at 6:07 p.m. on July 10, 2006 in the Safety/Administration Building, Council Chambers. Mayor Kernan called the meeting to order.

### **CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES:**

Mayor Kernan presented the Board of Control meeting minutes of **Monday, June 19, 2006**, as written. Mr. Moss motioned to accept the minutes as presented, Mr. Collins seconded.

<b>ROLL CALL</b>	<b>YEA VOTES:</b>	Mr. Moss, Mr. Collins, Mr. Zita, Mr. Price, Mayor Kernan
	<b>NAY VOTES:</b>	None

***Motion passed with a vote of 5-0.***

### **NEW BUSINESS:**

**Agenda Item #1:** *Mr. Moss would like Board of Control consent of the engineering fees expenditure to URS Engineering Company to provide necessary engineering services for Gardner Blvd PH-III, as addressed in Ord. 11-2006. Cost not to exceed \$39,800.*

**Agenda Item #2:** *Mr. Moss would like Board of Control consent of the engineering fees expenditure to Osborn Engineering Company to provide necessary engineering services for waterline replacements along Wadsworth Road and along Berry and McGowan Roads, as addressed in Ord. 92-2005. Cost not to exceed \$37,600.*

Mr. Moss stated that these are services approved by Council, but one month's payment, exceeds the Board of Control's (BOC) \$5,000 limit, but not the \$25,000 threshold. Mr. Price asked if the cost exceeded \$10,000 over the contract amount approved by Council, would this then come before BOC? No, the ordinance sets the spending limit and if costs come over the approved amount, it would have to come before Council again. The question is: Even though these expenditures were addressed by ordinance, should they also be before the Board? It is the Board's opinion that it is unnecessary to do both BOC and Council. If Council approves the overall amount, then it should not have to be addressed again by BOC--it is redundant.

Mr. Collins motioned that contracts for a stated fee, which have been approved by Council, do not need to be re-addressed by the BOC. This was seconded by Mr. Zita.

**ROLL CALL** YEA VOTES: Mr. Collins, Mr. Zita, Mr. Moss, Mr. Price, Mayor Kernan  
NAY VOTES: None

***Motion passed with a vote of 5-0.***

**Agenda Item #3:** *Mr. Moss would like Board of Control consent of the contract between the City of Norton and Osborn Engineering Company to provide Engineering Services. Costs approximated at \$95,000 for year 2006, which included engineering and full-time inspection services.*

Mr. Moss stated this expenditure is supported by the budget ordinance. Professional services are exempt from the bidding requirements. Mr. Collins mentioned that the Firefighters contract is due to be negotiated in the fall and he has asked Mr. Lyons to create an ordinance for the professional services needed for these negotiations. That way, if the costs exceed the \$5,000 threshold, Council has approved the process. Mr. Price stated that he feels more comfortable having the reminder service of the larger expenditures that the BOC provides. It was suggested that a review of expenditures could occur at the beginning of the year, but it was acknowledged that the budget ordinance already does this process.

Mr. Collins motioned that expenditures addressed in the budgetary process are not subject to additional BOC review, which was seconded by Mr. Moss.

**ROLL CALL** YEA VOTES: Mr. Collins, Mr. Moss, Mr. Zita, Mayor Kernan  
NAY VOTES: Mr. Price

***Motion passed with a vote of 4-1.***

**NEW BUSINESS:** None

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:40 p.m.

---

Joseph M. Kernan, Mayor

---

John Moss, Finance Director

/amc

cc: Board Members  
Council Members  
Clerk of Council  
file